

1.8. Summary of the partnership 🐧

Please provide an overview of the partnership, and answer the following questions:
What is the composition of the project partnership? What competences do these project partners bring to implement the project?
Which target groups are represented by the project partners?
(if applicable) What are the particular competences of the universities to support other project partners to reach the project objective?
(if applicable) Please explain imbalances in the partnership (e.g. missing types of organisations or sectors, one country/partner with significantly higher share of the budget and responsibilities for the implementation of the

(if applicable) What competences do the associated organisations have to support partners in implementing the project?

764 / 3,000 characters

 ✓ 1. Project Summary
 ✓ 2. Partnership
 ✓ 3. Relevance
 ✓ 4. Management
 ✓ 5. Work Plan
 ✓ 6. Indicators
 ✓ 7. Budget
 8. Submission and pdf

 + Project Partner
 + Associated Organisation
 ❤ Check for errors


2.1. Overview: Project Partnership 🐧


Project Partners

No. LP/PP		Occasionation (Frantish)	One-size time (Original)	Ct	Torra of control	Legal	Partner budget in	Active/	inactive	Complete	
NO.	LP/PP	Organisation (English)	Organisation (Original)	Country	Type of partner	status	the project	Status	from	Complete	
1	LP	Organisation in English	Lead partner	■ DK	National public authority	a)	172,000.00€	Active	16/06/2022	~	0
2	PP	Organisation in English	Project partner 2	■ SE	Sectoral agency	a)	115,000.00€	Active	16/06/2022	~	×
3	PP	Organisation in English	Project partner 3	₩ NO	NGO	b)	101,000.00€	Active	16/06/2022	~	×

Associated Organisations

No. Organisation (English)		Organisation (Original)	Country	Type of Partner	Complete	
AO 1	Organisation in English	Associated Organisation 1	■ LT	NGO	~	×





O Yes
No

State aid relevance

For the partner type selected, the Programme sees a medium to high risk for implementing State aid relevant activities. If the partner is of the opinion that its activities are not State aid relevant, it can ask the MA/JS for a plausibility check on the State aid relevance. Does the partner want to do this?

Justification why the partner's activities are not State aid relevant (1)


Please provide detailed justification why the partner's activities are not State aid relevant. For this justification, please consider the State aid criteria as laid down in Article 107(1) of the Treaty for the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). Please answer the following questions:

- Economic activity: an economic activity is any activity consisting of offering goods, works or services on a market. An economic activity is present if a partner provides goods or services in the context of the project that could be provided by a private operator with the view to making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention within the project). To justify that the partner's activities are not State aid relevant, the partner has to explain why its organisation does not carry out an economic activity as defined above.

- Advantage: an advantage is any economic benefit which an undertaking could not have obtained under normal market conditions, i.e. without the programme co-financing. An advantage is present if a partner is relieved of costs that it would have to pay in the normal course of business, like other organisations that offer similar products and services on the market.

To justify that the partner's activities are not State aid relevant, the partner's state aid relevant of the partner's State aid relevance on your arguments.

1.452 / 3,000 characters



Annual balance sheet total [in EUR] 1	500,000.00
Operating profit [in EUR] 6	0.00
Role of the partner organisation in this project: 6	


What is the role of this organisation in the project implementation?
Please note that each partner should have a clear role and be actively involved in particular activities in at least one work package.

203 / 1,000 characters


Has this organisation ever been a partner in the project(s) implemented in the Interreg Baltic Sea Region Programme?

O Yes

No



264 / 1.000 characters



Please indicate whether your project contributes to t	he implementation of the Action Plan of the EU Strat	tegy for the Baltic Sea	Region (EUSBSR).	
● Yes ○ No				
Please select which Policy Area of the EUSBSR your	project contributes to most.			
PA Innovation				~
Please list the action of this Policy Area that your pro	eject contributes to and explain how.			
Action x				
			8 / 1,500 c	haracters
If applicable, please describe which other Policy Area	as of the EUSBSR your project contributes to and he	ow.		
Policy Area y Policy Area z				
			27 / 1.500 c	haracters
3.6 Other political and strategic background	I of the project 🐧		<u> </u>	
Strategic documents				
Strategia decument 1: Diogeo describe how your pro-	nicet contributes to rehicular appeils apple or how it has	les implement actions of	etrateria decumenta relevant to the Dregramme area, Indicate un to three	
strategic documents. Please describe now your prostrategic documents with the highest relevance to your circular Economy Action Plan.	our proposal. You might refer to the EU or national level	, regional or sectorial po	strategic documents relevant to the Programme area. Indicate up to three licies and strategies, e.g. Green Deal, HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan, EU	×
			442 / 500 characters	
			strategic documents relevant to the Programme area. Indicate up to three licies and strategies, e.g. Green Deal, HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan, EU	×
			442 / 500 characters	
Strategic document 3: Please describe how your prostrategic documents with the highest relevance to your circular Economy Action Plan.	oject contributes to achieving specific goals or how it he our proposal. You might refer to the EU or national level	lps implement actions of , regional or sectorial po	strategic documents relevant to the Programme area. Indicate up to three licies and strategies, e.g. Green Deal, HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan, EU	×
			442 / 500 characters	
2.7 Cand				
3.7 Seed money support				
Please indicate whether your project is based on a s • Yes O No	eed money project implemented in the Interreg Balti	ic Sea Region Program	me 2014-2020.	
Please enter the title of this seed money project.				
Seed money project			18 / 200 0	characters
Please select which Policy Area (PA) or Horizontal A	ction (HA) this seed money project contributed to m	ost.		
PA Innovation				~
2004				
3.8 Other projects: use of results and plann	ed cooperation (1)			
Full name of the project	Funding Source		Use of the project outcomes and/or planned cooperation (
			Please describe: how you built your project proposal on the outcomes of this project, and/or	
Project 1	Funding source 1		how you plan to cooperate with this project, if your project receives funding.	×
9 / 200 characters		16 / 200 characters	171 / 1,000 characters	
Project 2	Funding source 2		Please describe: how you built your project proposal on the outcomes of this project, and/or how you plan to cooperate with this project, if your project receives funding.	×
9 / 200 characters		16 / 200 characters	171 / 1,000 characters	
			Please describe:	
Project 3	Funding source 3		how you built your project proposal on the outcomes of this project, and/or	
	Funding source 3		how you plan to cooperate with this project, if your project receives funding.	×

16 / 200 characters

171 / 1,000 characters

171 / 1,000 characters

×

Please describe: how you built your project proposal on the outcomes of this project, and/or how you plan to cooperate with this project, if your project receives funding.

3.5 Project's contribution to the EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region

Project 4

Funding source 4

9 / 200 characters

Project 4 9 / 200 characters	Funding source 4 16 / 200 characters	Please describe: how you built your project proposal on the outcomes of this project, and/or how you plan to cooperate with this project, if your project receives funding.	×
		171 / 1,000 characters	
Project 5 9 / 200 characters	Funding source 5	Please describe: how you built your project proposal on the outcomes of this project, and/or how you plan to cooperate with this project, if your project receives funding.	×
		171 / 1,000 characters	

3.9 Complementarity with cross-border-cooperation programmes in Baltic Sea region

Would your project idea also fit geographically and thematically under one or more cross-border-cooperation programmes in the Baltic Sea region and could be financed by these programmes?

Non ○ No


Please list the cross-border-cooperation programme(s) where you could potentially also apply for funding. Please justify why you apply for Interreg Baltic Sea Region funding.


Cross-border-cooperation programme xyz Please justify why you apply for Interreg Baltic Sea Region funding.

107 / 1,000 characters

3.10 Horizontal principles (1)

Horizontal principles	Projects's direct impact
Sustainable development	neutral 🔻
Non-discrimination including accessibility	positive ~
Equality between men and women	positive •









Outputs and deliverables overview


Code	Title	Description	Contribution to the output
D 1.1	Deliverable 1	Please describe the purpose and content of this deliverable. Explain how this deliverable helps you reach the output(s). Please also describe its transnational value.	Each deliverable should contribute to at least one project output.
D 1.2	Deliverable 2	Please describe the purpose and content of this deliverable. Explain how this deliverable helps you reach the output(s). Please also describe its transnational value.	Each deliverable should contribute to at least one project output.
D 1.3	Deliverable 3	Please describe the purpose and content of this deliverable. Explain how this deliverable helps you reach the output(s). Please also describe its transnational value.	Each deliverable should contribute to at least one project output.
0 1.4	Output 1	Please describe the purpose, content and format of this output. Please also describe its transnational value. If applicable, explain which solution is presented in this output.	
0 1.5	Output 2	Please describe the purpose, content and format of this output. Please also describe its transnational value. If applicable, explain which solution is presented in this output.	





5.6 Activities, deliverables, outputs and timeline (1)

No.	Name	
1.1	Group of activities 1	×
1.2	Group of activities 2	×
1.3	Group of activities 3	×
1.4	Group of activities 4	×
1.5	Group of activities 5	×











Period: 1 2 3 4


WP.1: Title of the work package
A1.4: Group of activities 4
O.1.4: Output 1





		Output	indicators	Result indicators				
Output indicators	Total target value in number	Project outputs	Please explain how the solution presented in this output serves the target group(s).	Result indicator	Total target value in number	Please explain how organisations in the target groups within or outside the partnership will take up or upscale each solution.		
RCO 84 – Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects	1	N/A	N/A	RCR 104 - Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations	1	This indicator counts solutions that are used by at least one organisation within or outside the project partnership. The solution should be used either by an organisation that was not using it before the project or by an organisation that was already using it before the project and will now extend the planned duration or increase the scale. Please note that the value of this indicator is calculated only if you have indicated that an output presents a solution. If an output		
RCO 116 – Jointly developed solutions	1	O.1.5: Output 2	This indicator counts the solutions contributing to solving the challenges identified by the project. Solutions are piloted during the project implementation. Projects can develop entirely new solutions or modify/upscale existing solutions after the testing phase. ("Upscale' means e.g. to apply to a larger region, other organisations, cities or countries). Each solution is presented in an output. Please note that the value of this indicator is calculated only if you have indicated that an output presents a solution. If an output presents a solution then the value is automatically counted based on the indication given in the work plan.	6		presents a solution then the value is automatically counted based on the indication given in the work plan. 587 / 2,000 characters		

				643 / 1,000 characte	ILS .
Output ind	licators				Result indicators
Output indicator	Total target value in number	Result indicator	Total target value in number (1)		hat types of organisations are planned to actively participate in the project. Explain how this participation ir institutional capacity. These types of organisations should be in line with the target groups you have defined for your project.
RCO 87 - Organisations cooperating	4	PSR 1 - Organisations with increased		Project partners and associated organisations	This indicator counts the project partners, associated organisations, and any organisations outside the partnership that actively participated in cooperation activities of a project across borders and consequently increased their institutional capacity.
borders (1)	across borders (1)	institutional capacity due to	16		253 / 1,500 characters
		their participation in cooperation activities across borders		Other organisations	This indicator counts the project partners, associated organisations, and any organisations outside the partnership that actively participated in cooperation activities of a project across borders and consequently increased their institutional capacity.
					253 / 1,500 characters



7.3 Spending plan per reporting period 🙃

	EU partners (ERDF)				rwegian partners (Norway	Total		
	Total	Programme co- financing	Average co- financing rate	Total	Programme co- financing	Average co- financing rate	Total	Programme co- financing
Preparation costs	7,000.00	5,600.00		3,000.00	1,500.00		10,000.00	7,100.00
Period 1	40,000.00	32,000.00		8,000.00	4,000.00		48,000.00	36,000.00
Period 2	50,000.00	40,000.00		20,000.00	10,000.00		70,000.00	50,000.00
Period 3	100,000.00	80,000.00		40,000.00	20,000.00		140,000.00	100,000.00
Period 4	90,000.00	72,000.00		30,000.00	15,000.00		120,000.00	87,000.00
Total	287,000.00	229,600.00	80.00 %	101,000.00	50,500.00	50.00 %	388,000.00	280,100.00

✓ 1. Project Summary	 2. Partnership 	✓ 3. Relevance	 4. Management 	✓ 5. Work Plan	✓ 6. Indicators	✓ 7. Budget	8. Submission and pdf	
☐ Create draft PDF ↓	Download PDF (11	/03/2022 10:42)	Submit					

Declaration by Lead Applicant

By submitting this application form, I on behalf of all project partners confirm that:

- 1. This application form submitted via the Bamos+ will be the only binding version;
- 2. The project, neither in whole nor in part, has received or will receive any other additional EU funds (except for the funds indicated in this application form) for any of the activities presented in the work plan during the whole duration of the project;
- 3. All organisations that will receive programme co-financing have been listed as project partners in this application form;
- 4. The project partners listed in the application form are committed to take part in the project's activities and financing;
- 5. The project is in line with the relevant EU legislation, rules of Interreg Baltic Sea Region, as well as national/regional legislation and policies and the entire project partnership will act according to these rules;
- 6. The project respects equal opportunities and non-discrimination and has no harmful impact on the environment;
- 7. Information in this application form is accurate and true to the best of our knowledge;
- 8. In case of approval of the application by the Interreg Baltic Sea Region Monitoring Committee our organisation will take the role of the lead partner with all the responsibilities assigned to it.

Submitted by	Date